| RESEARCH PROBLEM STATEMENT | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Problem Title: | Programming of Strong Ground Motion Instrumentation of New E | Bridges | No.: 05.07-2 (also 05.08-2) | | |
| Submitted By: | Marv Halling, USU | E-mail:halli | ng@cc.usu.edu | | |
| 1. Briefly describe the problem to be addressed: | | | | | |
| As new bridges are being constructed, the need for faster construction, more economical designs, and longer lasting infrastructure is becoming more and more important. These issues are paramount at the national level with FHWA Initiatives such as the "Bridge of the Future" and "Smart Structures." In order to improve the performance of modern structures, instrumentation and monitoring of representative structures is necessary. This problem statement addresses the need to install and maintain strong motion (earthquake) instrumentation as well as additional instrumentation. | | | | | |
| 2. List the research objective | re(s) to be accomplished: | | | | |
| 1. To plan, design, and install long term monitoring instrumentation in representative structures during construction. | | | | | |
| 2. To place sensors in bridge and foundation systems that will be useful in detecting degradation of the structural component. | | | | | |
| 3. To establish procedures where bridges are selected and designated for various types of instrumentation. | | | | | |
| 3. List the major tasks requi | ired to accomplish the research objective(s): Estimated person-hours | | | | |
| 1. Study the recommendation | ns of FHWA, and take a survey of the approaches of other state DOTs. | | | | |
| 2. Establish criteria for the selection of instrumentation and bridges to be instrumented. | | | | | |
| 3. Design of the instrumentation packages for one or two selected bridges on Legacy Highway. | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. Outline the proposed schedule (when do you need this done, and how we will get there): | | | | | |
| This project is anticipated to | have a duration of approximately 1 year. The duration of one year is noted to allow for the | e flexible Legacy | Highway schedule. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5. Indicate type of research and / or development project this is: | | | | | |
| Large: Research Project Development Project Small: X Research Evaluation Experimental Feature New Product Evaluation Tech Transfer Initiative Other | | | | | |
| 6. What type of entity is bes | t suited to perform this project (University, Consultant, UDOT Staff, Other Agency, Othe | er)? | | | |

| Page 2 | | |
|---|---|--|
| 7. What deliverable(s) would you like to receive at the end of workshops, report, manual of practice, policy, procedure, specific deliverable would be a set a guidelines regarding instrument Develop standard drawings, specifications and details for instance. | cification, standard, software, hardware, equipmen tation of UDOT structures. Recommended prioritiz | t, training tool, etc.) ation of proposed instrumentation locations. |
| 8. Describe how will this project be implemented at UDOT. | | |
| It is anticipated that the initial project will be funded by the res for new construction and from repair funds. | search division, with guidelines for long term future | e funding coming from construction funds |
| 9. Describe how UDOT will benefit from the implementation of the language of UDOT will be the environmental benefit from the implementation of the language of the control of the language of | | |
| The beneficiaries at UDOT will be the engineers charged | with observation and maintenance of UDO1 bridg | es. |
| 10. Describe the expected risks, obstacles, and strategies to on The main obstacle will be funding the longer term program. bridges will become a necessary construction cost. These expensions | With interest in improved performance requiremen | |
| 11. List the key UDOT Champion of this project (person who the results): Jim Higbee, UDOT | o will help Research steer and lead this project, an | d will participate in implementation of |
| 12. Estimate the cost of this research study including implem | nentation effort (use person-hours from No. 3): | \$ 30,000. |
| 13. List other champions (UDOT and non-UDOT) who are in Advisory Committee for this study: | nterested in and willing to participate in the Techn | ical |
| Name | Organization/Division/Region | Phone Attended UTRAC? |
| A Todd Jensen, UDOT | | |
| B) Jon Bischoff, UDOT | | |
| C) Boyd Wheeler, UDOT | | |
| D) Paul Barr, USU | | |
| E) Keri Ryan, USU | | |
| F) Steve Bartlett, UU | | |
| G) Jim Bay, USU | | |

14. Identify other Utah agencies, regional or national agencies, or other groups that may have an interest in supporting this study:

UU Seismic Stations, USGS, UGS, ANSS Program, FHWA